

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,212	06/25/2001	Tony S. Kaushal	005231 ALRT/ETCH/DRIE	1445
32588	7590 01/30/200		EXAMINER	
APPLIED M	MATERIALS, INC.	MCNEIL, JENNIFER C		
2881 SCOTT	BLVD. M/S 2061			·
SANTA CLA	RA, CA 95050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1775	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				1111-1		
`* •		Application No.	Applicant(s)	CHIC		
		09/892,212	KAUSHAL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jennifer C McNeil	1775			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress		
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. BO) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Now will, by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ornmunication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>25 <i>June</i> 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		-			
	Applicant may not request that any obje					
11\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	= : :	, ,		
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o by the Examiner. Note the attack	ned Office Action of form PT	0-152.		
·		for foreign priority under 25 LLC	2 £ 110(a) (d) ar (f)			
a)[* S 13)□ A si 3 3 a 14)□ A		documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies n for domestic priority under 35 U.S. ed in the first sentence of the speci- nguage provisional application has for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No en received in this National solution received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional fication or in an Application is been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet. a specific		
Attachment	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 28 is grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Otsuki (US 2001/0003271). Otsuki teaches a processing apparatus with a chamber and members for work-processing coated with a film of alumina and yttria. The coating has a high-corrosion resistance and insulating property. The chamber may be made of alumina, silica, aluminum nitride, and other ceramics.

Regarding the CTE of claims 1-3, as the substrate and the coating are made of compositions commensurate with that of the instant claims, the CTE is fully expected and considered to correspond to that of the instant claims.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, as stated above, the support may be made of alumina and aluminum nitride.

Regarding claims 7-9, the coating comprises alumina and yttria.

Regarding claim 10, as the support and coating are made of materials commensurate with the instant claims, and that the coating is formed by thermal spray, it is fully expected that an intermediate region is formed.

Regarding claims 11-16, and 19, the coating may be deposited on the chamber wall, and rings.

Art Unit: 1775

Regarding claim 18, as stated above, the coating may be alumina and yttria, and the support may be alumina.

Regarding claims 20-23, the coating may be formed by thermal (plasma) spray.

Regarding claim 24, as stated above, the support may be alumina, and the coating alumina and yttria.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuki (US 2001/0003271) in view of Kholodenko et al (US 6,310,755). Otsuki teaches a processing chamber as discussed above including a support made of alumina, silica, aluminum nitride, or other ceramics, but does not specifically name additional ceramics. Kholodenko teaches a processing chamber similar to that of Otsuki and further teaches that the support may be formed of aluminum-silicon carbide (AlSiC). Kholodenko teaches that the AlSiC is a preferred substrate because the thermal and mechanical properties may be altered by changing the metal to ceramic composition (col. 6, lines 18-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a support of AlSiC as taught by Kholodenko as the support material of Otsuki to provide a material known to be useful as a chamber component and has adjustable thermal and mechanical properties. Regarding claims 25-29, Kholodenko teaches bonding AlSiC to AlN or alumina and including the step of heating and pressurizing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C McNeil whose telephone number is 703-305-0553. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 703-308-3822. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

/ JCM

January 26, 2004